

ISSA Proceedings 2010 - Table of Contents

Table of Contents ISSA Proceedings 2010

- [Frans H. van Eemeren, Bart Garssen, David Godden & Gordon Mitchell, Preface](#)
- [Don Paul Abbott, "War with Words": I.A. Richards' Attack on Argument](#)
- [Rodica Amel, The Probable and the Problem](#)
- [Ruth Amossy, Polemical Discourse on the Net: "Flames" in Argumentation](#)
- [Corina Andone, The Reasonableness of Retracting a Standpoint in a Political Interview](#)
- [Gregory Bassham, Should "Argument" Be Defined Without Reference to Use?](#)
- [Mark Battersby & Sharon Bailin, Critical Inquiry: Considering the Context](#)
- [Hilde Van Belle, Rhetorical Figures and Their Chances in Hybrid Media](#)
- [Floris Bex & Bart Verheij, Arguments, Stories and Evidence: Critical Questions for Fact-finding](#)
- [Sarah Bigi, Institutional Constraints on the \(Un\)Sound Use of the Argument from Expert Opinion in the Medical Context](#)
- [Frans Birrer & Wouter Mensink, The Virtual World of Policy Arguments: The Case of the Electronic Health Record](#)
- [Carole Blair, V. William Balthrop & Neil Michel, Enthymemes of Relation and National Legitimation: Argument and Tombs of the Unknown](#)
- [J. Anthony Blair, Logic in the Pragma-Dialectical Theory](#)
- [George Boger, Argumentation and Contemporary Concerns for Justice: Shifting Focus from the Universal Audience to the Common Good](#)
- [Patrick Bondy, On the Priority of Epistemic Rationality](#)
- [Antonio Bova, Implicitness Functions in Family Argumentation](#)
- [Lilit Brutian, Stylistic Devices and Argumentative Strategies in Public Discourse](#)
- [Ann E. Burnette & Wayne L. Kraemer, Nobel Diplomacy: The Rhetoric of the Obama Administration](#)
- [Damiano Canale & Giovanni Tuzet, The Argument from Legislative Silence](#)
- [Paola Cantù and Italo Testa, Algorithms and Arguments: The Foundational Role of the ATAI-question](#)
- [Linda Carozza, Emotional Arguments, Personality Theory, and Conflict Resolution](#)
- [M. Kelly Carr, Amanda Reid Payne & Marilyn J. Young, The Latin Cross as War](#)

[Memorial and The Genesis of Legal Argument: Interpreting Commemorative Symbolism in *Salazar v. Buono*](#)

[Begoña Carrascal & Miguel Mori, Argumentation Schemes in the Process of Arguing](#)

[Annalisa Cattani, Contemporary Trends: Between Public Art and Guerrilla Advertising](#)

[Leah Ceccarelli, Controversy over Uncertainty: Argumentation Scholarship and Public Debate about Science](#)

[Francis Chateauraynaud & Marianne Doury, The Collective Making of Temporal Aspects in Public Debates](#)

[Daniel H. Cohen, Going for Broke: The Meta-argumentation of Desperation Strategies](#)

[John M. Collins, Agent-Relative Fallacies](#)

[Mihaela Viorica Constantinescu, Re-presenting argumentation in the traditional Romanian parliamentary debate](#)

[Robert T. Craig, Arguments about 'Rhetoric' in the 2008 US Presidential Election Campaign](#)

[Emmanuelle Danblon, Are Motivational Thoughts Persuasive and Valid?](#)

[De Nardis Vito, The Argumentative Structure in Octavius of Minucius Felix: The Role of the Thesis and the "Status Quaestionis" in the Development of the Structure](#)

[Silvia De Ascaniis, Lorenzo Cantoni & Stefano Tardini, Argumentation in Tourism: an analysis of User-Generated-Contents about Lugano \(Switzerland\)](#)

[Jason M. Dickenson & James A. Lorié, Points and Purposes of Argumentative Texts](#)

[Antoni Diller, A Critical-rationalist Approach to Premise Acceptability](#)

[Ana Dimiškovska, "The Truth and Nothing but the Truth?": The Argumentative Use of Fictions in Legal Reasoning](#)

[Ian J. Dove, Image, Evidence, Argument](#)

[M. Dufour, Didactical Arguments and Mathematical Proofs](#)

[Claudio Durán, Bi-Logical Analysis of Arguments in Political Propaganda: The case of the Chilean Press 1970-1973](#)

[Frans van Eemeren, Bart Garssen & Bert Meuffels, The Extended Pragma-Dialectical Argumentation Theory Empirically Interpreted](#)

[Anders Eriksson, Argumentative *Topoi* for Refutation and Confirmation](#)

[Isabela Fairclough & Norman Fairclough, Practical Reasoning in Political Discourse: Moral and Prudential Arguments in the Debate over Bankers' Bonuses](#)

[in the British Press](#)

[Eveline T. Feteris, Strategic Manoeuvring in the Case of the 'Unworthy Spouse'](#)

[Ioana Agatha Filimon, Argumentative Valences of the Key-phrase *Value Creation* in Corporate Reporting](#)

[Maurice A. Finocchiaro, Meta-argumentation: Prolegomena to a Dutch Project](#)

[Thomas Fischer, Wellman and Govier on Weighing Considerations in Conductive Pro and Contra Arguments](#)

[James B. Freeman, Can Argumentation Really Deal With Dissensus?](#)

[Gerd Fritz & Thomas Gloning, Critique and Controversy in Digital Scientific Communication: Regulative Principles and Praxis](#)

[Jonas Gabrielsen, Sine N. Just & Mette Bengtsson, Concepts and Contexts - Argumentative Forms of Framing](#)

[Alina Ganea, Strategically Manoeuvring with Reporting in the Argumentation Stage of a Critical Discussion](#)

[Anca Gâță, On 'Life Expectancy' of Dissociated Terms](#)

[Marie Gelang & Jens Kjeldsen, Nonverbal Communication as Argumentation](#)

[Janne Maaïke Gerlofs, Hidden Premises, Hidden Treasures?](#)

[Michael A. Gilbert, Reason & Intuition: The Kisceral Mode of Communication](#)

[Kara Gilbert, Gordon Whyte & Andrea Paul, Argumentation Standards in the Assessment of Clinical Communication Competence](#)

[G.C. Goddu, Is "Argument" Subject to the Product/Process Ambiguity](#)

[Peter Goggin, Argumentation On Sustainability In Small Island Communities](#)

[G. Thomas Goodnight and Kara Gilbert, Drug Advertising and Clinical Practice: Establishing Topics of Evaluation](#)

[Thomas F. Gordon and Douglas Walton, A Formal Model of Legal Proofs Standard and Burdens](#)

[Kira V.Goudkova and Tatyana P.Tretyakova, Binary Oppositions in Media Argumentation](#)

[Sara Greco Morasso, The Challenge of Studying Argumentation in Context](#)

[Leo Groarke, Emotional Arguments: Ancient and Contemporary Views](#)

[Ton van Haften, Dutch Parliamentary Debate as Communicative Activity Type](#)

[Allison Hahn, The World Schools Debate Championship and Intercultural Argumentation](#)

[Benjamin Hamby, Toulmin's Analytic Arguments](#)

[Dale Hample, Fabio Paglieri & Ling Na, The Costs and Benefits of Arguing: Predicting the Decision Whether to Engage or not](#)

[Kati Hannken-Illjes, The Absence of Reasons](#)

[Hans V. Hansen, Using Argument Schemes as a Method of Informal Logic](#)

[Michael David Hazen & Thomas J. Hynes, An Exploratory Study of Argument in the Public and Private Domains of Differing Forms of Societies](#)

[Dale A. Herbeck, Defining "Disruption": Setting Limits on Student Speech Rights in the United States](#)

[Darrin Hicks & Justin Eckstein, Reasonableness and Strategic Maneuvering in Cold-War Editorial Argumentation](#)

[Mika Hietanen, "I have like a message from God" - The Rhetorical Situation and Persuasive Strategies in Revival Rhetoric](#)

[Michael H.G. Hoffmann, Powerful Arguments: Logical Argument Mapping](#)

[Sarah Hoffmann, Argumentation Schemes in Proverbs](#)

[Michael J. Hoppmann, On the Concept "Argumentum ad Baculum"](#)

[Jos Hornikx, Variations of Standpoint Explicitness in Advertising: An Experimental Study on Probability Markers](#)

[Paul van den Hoven, Iconicity in Visual and Verbal Argumentation](#)

[Constanza Ihnen Jory, Evaluating Pragmatic Argumentation: A Pragma-Dialectical Perspective](#)

[Cornelia Ilie, Gendering the Rhetoric of Emotions in Interviews: Argumentation and Counter-Argumentation](#)

[Beth Innocenti, Analyzing Repetition in Argumentation](#)

[Liliana Ionescu-Ruxăndoiu, The *Argumentum ad Hominem* in a Romanian Parliamentary Debate](#)

[Henrike Jansen, 'If that were true, I would never have ...': The Counterfactual Presentation of Arguments that Appeal to Human Behaviour](#)

[Ann Johnson & Esteban del Rio, Interpretation and Evaluation of Satirical Arguments](#)

[Ralph H. Johnson, Wittgenstein's Influence on Hamblin's Concept of 'Dialectical'](#)

[Charlotte Jørgensen, Fudging Speech Acts in Political Argumentation](#)

[Tomohiro Kanke & Junya Morooka, Youth Debates in Early Modern Japan](#)

[Manfred Kienpointner, When Figurative Analogies Fail: Fallacious Uses of Arguments from Analogy](#)

[Gabrijela Kišiček & Davor Stanković, Analysis of Fallacies in Croatian Parliamentary Debate](#)

[Jens E. Kjeldsen, Visual Tropes and Figures as Visual Argumentation](#)

[Susan L. Kline, The Relationship between Reflective Reasoning and Argument Skill](#)

[James F. Klumpp, Keynote Address: Rhetorical Argument](#)

[Kyoko Koma, Foreign Words as Argumentative Devices: Japanese Words in French Newspapers](#)

[Takuzo Konishi, Logically Defending for Publication: An Analysis of the Review Process of Logical Self-Defense](#)

[Marcin Koszowy, Pragmatic Logic: The Study of Argumentation in the Lvov-Warsaw School](#)

[Erik C. W. Krabbe & Jan Albert van Laar, The Ways of Criticism: Four Parameters](#)

[Manfred Kraus, Cultural Diversity, Cognitive Breaks, and Deep Disagreement: Polemic Argument](#)

[Gábor Kutrovátz, Expert Authority and Ad Verecundiam Arguments](#)

[Lenore Langsdorf & Darrin Hicks, The Metaphysics of Argument: Two Proposals about Presuppositions](#)

[Lars Leeten, Moral Argumentation from a Rhetorical Point of View](#)

[Alain Létourneau, Definition and Prescription as Classifiers of Arguments: A comparison of two models to analyze arguments, Sproule \(1980\) and Toussaint-Ducasse \(1996\)](#)

[Marcin Lewiński, The Collective Antagonist: Multiple Criticism in Informal Online Deliberation](#)

[Qingyin Liang & Yun Xie, How Critical is the Dialectical Tier? Exploring the Critical Dimension in the Dialectical Tier](#)

[Vincenzo Lo Cascio, The Inferential Work of the Addressee: Recovering Hidden Argumentative Information](#)

[Celso López & Ana María Vicuña, Improving the Teaching of Argumentation through Pragma Dialectical Rules and a Community of Inquiry](#)

[Christoph Lumer, Probabilistic Arguments in the Epistemological Approach to Argumentation](#)

[Roseann M. Mandziuk, Commemoration and Controversy: Negotiating Public Memory through Counter-Memorials](#)

[Maurizio Manzin, Rhetorical vs. Syllogistic Models of Legal Reasoning: The Italian Experience](#)

[Roberto Marafioti, Argumentation, Debate and Refutation in Contemporary Argentine Political Discourse](#)

[Noemi Marin & David Cratis Williams, Presidential Arguments in Post-Soviet Russia: An Enthymematic Return to National Identity as Argumentation of Citizenry?](#)

[Fátima Masot-Conde, Is Natural Selection a Tautology?](#)

[Simona Mazilu, Persuasive Definitions in Ethical Argumentation on Abortion](#)

[Davide Mazzi, "Palmerston bustles around with the foreign policy of this powerful nation, like a furious and old drunkard...": On the Discursive Formulation of Argument by Analogy in History](#)

[Sebastian McEvoy, The Argumentative and Legalistic Analysis of Versification](#)

[Oswaldo Melo Souza Filho, From Polemical Exchanges to Dialogue: Appreciations about an Ethics of Communication](#)

[Vesel Memedi, Intractable Disputes: The Development of Attractors](#)

[Wouter Mensink & Frans A. J. Birrer, Constituting the "Good Patient" - The Effect of "Clustered Argumentation" in Dutch Personal Healthcare Budget](#)

[Johanna Miecznikowski, Construction Types and Argumentative Functions of Possibility Modals: Evidence from Italian](#)

[Maureen C. Minielli, "Crisis" and Argument by Definition in the Modern American Presidency](#)

[Dima Mohammed, The Reasonableness of Responding to Criticism with Accusations of Inconsistency](#)

[Dima Mohammed & Peter Schulz, Argumentative Insights for the Analysis of Direct-to-Consumer Advertising](#)

[Daniela Muraru, Strategic Maneuvering with the Technique of Dissociation in International Mediation](#)

[María G. Navarro, Elements for an Argumentative Method of Interpretation](#)

[Ana Laura Nettel, The Enthymeme Between Persuasion and Argumentation](#)

[Davor Nikolić & Diana Tomić, Employing the Toulmin's Model in Rhetorical Education](#)

[Daniel J. O'Keefe, The Argumentative Structure of Some Persuasive Appeal Variations](#)

[Hiroko Okuda, Obama's Rhetorical Strategy in Presenting "A World Without Nuclear Weapons"](#)

[Paula Olmos & Luis Vega, The Use of the Script Concept in Argumentation Theory](#)

[Fabio Paglieri & John Woods, Enthymemes: From Reconstruction to Understanding](#)

[John Pajunen, Acceptance, Epistemic Concepts, and Argumentation Theory](#)

[Rudi Palmieri, Situational Constraints on Argumentation in the Context of Takeover Proposals](#)

[Edward Panetta, Gwen Ifill: Moderator or Opponent in the 2008 Vice-Presidential Debate?](#)

[Donn W. Parson, Argumentation: Problems of Style and the Contribution of Kenneth Burke](#)

[Steven W. Patterson, Dancing, Dueling, and Argumentation: On the Normative Shape of the Practice of Argumentation](#)

[Sune Holm Pedersen, Reasonable Non-Agreement in Critical Discussions](#)

[Jeanne Peijnenburg, Epistemic Foundationalism and Aristotle's Principle of the Absolute](#)

[Valeria Pery-Borissov & Galia Yanoshevsky, How Authors Justify their Participation in Literary Interviews: Analyzing the Argumentative Dimension of the Interview through its Interactions](#)

[Pamela Pietrucci, From Liberation to Liberty: Strategic Ambiguity and Politicization in Berlusconi's 1st Liberation Day Speech: "April 25: A honor and a commitment"](#)

[Roosmaryn Pilgram, A Doctor's Argumentation by Authority as a Strategic Manoeuvre](#)

[H. José Plug, Parrying Ad-hominem Arguments in Parliamentary Debates](#)

[Gilbert Plumer, Novels as Arguments](#)

[Lotte van Poppel, Solving Potential Disputes in Health Brochures with Pragmatic Argumentation](#)

[Henry Prakken, Argumentation without Arguments](#)

[Federico Puppo, Vagueness of Language and Judicial Rhetoric](#)

[Pierre-Yves Raccah, A Semantic Structure for Points of View: About Linguistic Constraints on Argumentation](#)

[Karen Rasmussen, The Paradox of Sherman Alexie's Reservation Blues](#)

[Angela G. Ray, Making History by Analogy: Frederick Douglass Remembers William the Silent](#)

[Chris Reed & Katarzyna Budzynska, How Dialogues Create Arguments](#)

[Anna Carolina Regner, Three Kinds of Polemical Interaction](#)

[Regan Lance Reitsma, Tolerance and Intellectual Humility](#)

[Cindy Koenig Richards, The Language of Democracy and Power, Spoken by Women: Challenging Political Exclusion through Formal Argumentation](#)

[John J. Rief, Widening Applications of Phronesis in the Clinic and Beyond](#)

[Eddo Rigotti, Innovation and Continuity in Agricola's De Inventione Dialectica](#)

[Patricia Riley & Thomas A. Hollihan, Strategic Communication - How Governments Frame Arguments in the Media](#)

[Jeremy Rogerson, Woodrow Wilson's Economic Imperialism](#)

[Georges Roque, Visual Argumentation. A Reappraisal](#)

[Robert C. Rowland, Health Care Reform and the Status of the Public Sphere](#)

[Sara Rubinelli, Jerome Bickenbach & Gerold Stucki, Definitions and facts. Arguing about the definition of health](#)

[Janice Schuetz, Strategic Maneuvering and Appellate Argumentation](#)

[Jan Henning Schulze, The Rationality of Rhetoric: How to cope with human limitations](#)

[Francesco Screti & Alfonso Martin Jimenez, Rhetoric and Argumentation in Advertising: TV Campaign “Let’s live like Galician”](#)

[Gabriela Scripnic, Strategic Manoeuvring with Direct Evidential Strategies](#)

[Paul L. Simard Smith, Belief, Rationality and the “Jurisdiction of Argumentation”](#)

[A. Francisca Snoeck Henkemans, Shared Medical Decision-Making: Strategic Maneuvering by Doctors in the Presentation of Their Treatment Preferences to Patients](#)

[Marta Spranzi, The Nature and Purpose of Dialectic: Aristotle and Contemporary Argumentation Theory](#)

[Ariadna Ștefănescu, Conceptual Metaphors and Flexibility in Political Notions in Use in 19th Century Romanian Parliamentary Discourse](#)

[Masako Suzuki, Jiro Hasumi, Yoshiro Yano & Kazumi Sakai, Adaptation to Adjudication Styles in Debates and Debate Education](#)

[Takeshi Suzuki & Takayuki Kato, An Analysis of TV Debate: Democratic Party of Japan Leadership between Hatoyama and Okada](#)

[Viktor Tchouechov, Argumentology about the Possibility of Dialogue between New Logic, Rhetoric, Dialectics](#)

[Serena Tomasi, Adversarial Principle and Argumentation: An Outline of Italian Criminal Trial](#)

[Karen Tracy, Everyday Argument Strategies in Appellate Court Argument about Same-Sex Marriage](#)

[Assimakis Tseronis, Towards an Empirically Plausible Classification of Argumentative Markers](#)

[Alena L. Vasilyeva, Argumentation in the Context of Mediation Activity](#)

[Laura Vincze, The Appeal to Ethos as a Strategic Maneuvering in Political Discourse](#)

[Jean H.M. Wagemans, Argument Schemes, Topoi, and Laws of Logic](#)

[Douglas Walton & Fabrizio Macagno, Burdens of Persuasion and Proof in Everyday Argumentation](#)

[Harry Weger, Jr., Engineering Argumentation in Marriage: Pragma-dialectics, Strategic Maneuvering, and The “Fair Fight for Change” in Marriage Education](#)

[Sheldon Wein, Assuring Cooperation: From Prisoner's Dilemmas to Assurance Games to Mutual Cooperation](#)

[Mark Weinstein, Arguing Towards Truth: The Case of the Periodic Table](#)

[Andreas Welzel & Christopher W. Tindale, The Emotions' Impact on Audience Judgments and Decision-Making in Aristotle's Rhetoric](#)

[Jan Willem Wieland, Proto-Regress Argument Schemas](#)

[David Cratis Williams, Marilyn J. Young & Michael K. Launer, Russian National Identity as Argument Construction: An Assessment of Political Transformations in Post-Soviet Russia](#)

[Galia Yanoshevsky, Building a Trustful Audience in Scam Letters](#)

[Igor Ž. Žagar, The Use and Misuse of Topoi: Critical Discourse Analysis and Discourse-Historical Approach](#)

[Maria Załęska, Ad Hominem in the Criticisms of Expert Argumentation](#)

[David Zarefsky, The Appeal for Transcendence: A Possible Response to Cases of Deep Disagreement](#)

[Gábor Zemlén & Gergely Kertész, The Challenges of Training Critical Discussants: Dialectical Effectiveness and Responsibility in Strategic Maneuvering and in Science Education](#)

[Frank Zenker, Why Study the Overlap Between "Ought" and "Is" Anyways? On Empirically Investigating the Conventional Validity of the Pragma-Dialectical Discussion Rules](#)

[Gergana Zlatkova, Reported Argumentation in Financial News Articles: Problems of Reconstruction](#)

[Janja Žmavc, The Ethos of Classical Rhetoric: From Epieikeia to Auctoritas](#)