

PVV Blog 5 - The 'Monocultural Confession' Of The Dutch Party For Freedom



03-05-2024 ~ Party for Freedom MP and Speaker of Parliament Martin Bosma presents the '20 foundations of the Multicultural Confession of Faith' in chapter 7b of his book [De schijn-élite van de valse munters](#).

This 'Multicultural Confession of Faith' is said to have 'made a great impression on the elites of the Netherlands'. This ideology would 'involve the flattening of the leading culture in favor of minority/immigrant cultures', Bosma claims. The multicultural ideology is, according to Bosma, based on a number of foundations, namely the following:

- 1 Multicultural societies are superior to monocultural societies;
- 2 Mass immigration is inevitable, the influx can be attributed to globalization;
- 3 Mass immigration is the result of guest labor;
- 4 Mass immigration is good for the economy, for healthcare and the welfare state. We need immigrants;
- 5 We also have a moral obligation to welcome large groups of foreigners as a way to make up for the Second World War, our colonial past, slavery or the Crusades;
- 6 Mass immigration should be viewed purely nationally. The behavior of Muslims in other countries is of no importance to the Netherlands;
- 7 Today's mass immigration can be compared to the arrival of immigrants in the sixteenth century (to the Netherlands). Muslims will assimilate, it is a matter of time. Muslims are Huguenots in the making;
- 8 Islam is a religion and will therefore develop like all other religions, namely towards a liberal version or even an Enlightenment;
- 9 There is a moderate Islam;
- 10 Christians have also had their pranks, so don't whine about Islam;
- 11 The Bible also contains strange things, just like the Koran does. So there is

no problem;

- 12 Muslims' commitment to their ideology should be denied or underestimated, problems they may cause are explained by socio-economic conditions;
- 13 At most, these problems concern a single Moroccan street thief. Demographically, the Muslim influx poses no problem whatsoever;
- 14 Muslims form a 'vulnerable group' and therefore deserve extra protection from the government;
- 15 Welfare state and immigration country go well together;
- 16 The problems started with the Party for Freedom. If Wilders would just stop, the issues would soon be over;
- 17 Anyone who opposes Islamization belongs to the extreme right;
- 18 The lesson of the Second World War is that any form of patriotism or nationalism is wrong and tolerance is always good;
- 19 The 'May 1968-left' movement, which was ultimately wrong about communism, is now right about Islam and the multicultural society'.

And then Bosma writes before formulating the twentieth article:

'And especially this one:

*20 If the multiculturalists are wrong, the Netherlands and the West will get a second chance'.

Dixit Martin Bosma. And as a final comment he states the following:

'These foundations have never been thought through or proven. In fact, they are all wrong'.

The elite impressed by the confession of faith

Martin Bosma has placed himself in the world of thought of 'the elites' and has from that perspective considered society, especially when it comes to 'mass immigration' and 'Islam'. He has not defined who constitutes 'the elites' nor has he researched or quoted what the elites really think about these topics. It is his interpretation of what 'the elites' think. The foundation on which this thought exercise stands is therefore weak. But this empirical or scientific argument is of course not important to Bosma. Bible verse Isaiah 20:5 in his book is leading. The text reads as follows:

"Woe to those who call evil good and good evil; who represent darkness as light and light as darkness; who pass off bitter as sweet and sweet as bitter'.

For Martin Bosma, the world consists of either good or evil and woe to those who call evil good or good evil. It is therefore not surprising that Bosma states that the 20 foundations of the 'Multicultural Creed' are incorrect or 'evil'.

The 'Monocultural Creed'

If all 20 points of the Multicultural Creed are incorrect, and therefore 'evil', then the Party for Freedom version of that creed would be 'good', and would be called the 'Monocultural Creed', and the 20 statements would read as follows :

- 1 'Multicultural societies are not superior to monocultural societies;
- 2 Mass immigration is not inevitable, the influx cannot be attributed to globalization;
- 3 Mass immigration is not the result of guest labor;
- 4 Mass immigration is bad for the economy, for healthcare and the welfare state. We don't need immigrants;
- 5 We do not have a moral obligation to welcome large groups of foreigners as a way to make up for the Second World War, our colonial past, slavery or the Crusades;
- 6 Mass immigration should not be viewed nationally. The behavior of Muslims in other countries is of great importance to the Netherlands;
- 7 Today's mass immigration cannot be compared to the arrival of immigrants in the sixteenth century. Muslims are not going to assimilate, it is not a matter of time. Muslims are not Huguenots in the making;
- 8 Islam is not a religion and will therefore not develop like all other religions, namely in the direction of a liberal version or even an Enlightenment;
- 9 There is no moderate Islam;
- 10 Christians have not (had) any pranks, so the more reason there is to whine about Islam;
- 11 There are no strange things in the Bible, unlike the Koran. So there is a problem;
- 12 The commitment of Muslims to their ideology should be recognized or not underestimated, problems they cause are not explained by socio-economic conditions;
- 13 These problems are really not about a single Moroccan street thief. Demographically, the Muslim influx poses a major problem;
- 14 Muslims do not form a 'vulnerable group' and therefore do not deserve extra protection from the government;

- 15 Welfare state and immigration country do not go together at all;
- 16 The problems did not start with the Party for Freedom. If Wilders just stops, the contradictions will certainly not be over;
- 17 Anyone who opposes Islamization is not extreme-right;
- 18 The lesson of the Second World War is that any form of patriotism or nationalism is good and tolerance is not good;
- 19 The 'May-1968-left' movement, which was ultimately wrong about communism, is now wrong about Islam and the multicultural society;
- 20 If the multiculturalists are wrong, the Netherlands and the West will not get a second chance'.

Further analysis

A guiding principle in this series is the question of 'whether things are as bad as they look'.

The ideas of the Party for Freedom as expressed in the book by parliament chairman and party ideologue Marin Bosma are sharp and reject all forms of migration and Islam.

When the party sat down in governmental coalition negotiations the party withdrew a number of unconstitutional bills relating to Muslims in the Netherlands. Party leader Wilders also conformed to the view of the other three potential coalition parties, that Islam is a religion that enjoys protection under the Constitution, in contrast to his previous view that Islam is a 'totalitarian ideology'. But, religion or ideology, party leader Wilders reiterated his view on Islam in a parliamentary debate: 'So, I still think that Islam as a religion is a reprehensible, hateful religion that incites violence. So the (Party's) position on Islam will not change.'

If the Party for Freedom enters a new government, it is certain to me that the 20 statements of the 'negative monocultural Party for Freedom ideology' will become visible in one way or the other, directly or indirectly, in statements and bills from a possible Party for Freedom government.

A party that has spoken out so clearly in negative terms about immigration and Islam does not deny its roots. Impossible.